

UnivColl International Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Volume 2 | Issue 9, September 2026, pp 15-22

ISSN (Online): 3108-1460

Homepage: <https://univcolljournal.com>



Title:	'ORI' AND 'AYANMO' IN YORUBA ETHICAL VALUE CONSIDERATION: A RE-ASSESSMENT
Author(s):	Olasebikan Mathew Kehinde ¹ , Afuye Ezekiel Abiodun ²
Affiliation(s):	¹ Bamidele Olumilua, University of Education, Science and Technology Ikere Ekiti. Department of Liberal Arts (History and International Studies unit) ² Bamidele Olumilua, University of Education, Science and Technology Ikere Ekiti. Department of Liberal Arts (History and International Studies unit)
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.65919/uimrj.2026.v2i9003
History:	Received: 14 July 2026, Accepted: 09 September 2026, Published: 30 September 2026
Citation:	Kehinde, O. M., & Abiodun, A. E. (2026). 'ORI' AND 'AYANMO' IN YORUBA ETHICAL VALUE CONSIDERATION: A RE-ASSESSMENT. UnivColl International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 2(9). 15-22 https://doi.org/10.65919/uimrj.2026.v2i9003
Copyright:	© 2026 The Author(s)
Licensing:	 Open access under CC BY-NC 4.0
Conflict of Interest:	The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.
Funding:	This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
Corresponding Author:	Olasebikan Mathew Kehinde ✉
Published By:	UnivColl Publications

'ORI' AND 'AYANMO' IN YORUBA ETHICAL VALUE CONSIDERATION: A RE-ASSESSMENT

Olasebikan Mathew Kehinde

Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology Ikere Ekiti.
Department of Liberal Arts (History and International Studies unit)

Afuye Ezekiel Abiodun

Bamidele Olumilua University of Education, Science and Technology Ikere Ekiti.
Department of Liberal Arts (History and International Studies unit)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the Yoruba concepts of Ori (destiny) and Ayanmo (fate or divine purpose) are critically reexamined within the larger framework of Yoruba ethical value systems. These interrelated concepts, which have been at the heart of Yoruba philosophical and religious thought, are examined in relation to current ethical frameworks and moral dilemmas. By carefully examining their philosophical foundation, historical development, and practical applications, this study investigates how Ori and Ayanmo continue to influence both individual moral responsibility and collective ethical standards in contemporary Yoruba society. With an emphasis on how these concepts might be able to address today's ethical problems, it seeks to offer a novel viewpoint on their applicability in moral discourse. This essay critically reevaluates traditional Yoruba ethical ideals in the context of modernity and globalization, adding to the expanding corpus of work on African philosophy.

Keywords: African ethical philosophy, Ori, Ayanmo, Yoruba ethics, Fate, Moral agency, destiny, and Yoruba philosophy.

Introduction

Ayanmo, commonly translated as "fate" or "divine purpose," is the larger, collective cosmic order that shapes individual lives within the context of the divine will and communal ethics. Ori, often translated as the "inner head" or "divine essence," is understood to embody the spiritual destiny and moral guidance of an individual; it serves as the metaphysical foundation for one's purpose in life. Yoruba philosophy, an essential component of African thought, is deeply rooted in spiritual, ethical, and metaphysical beliefs that provide guidance for personal conduct and societal organization. These ideas form the cornerstone of the moral codes that govern Yoruba culture. But in a society that is changing quickly due to globalization and the growing influence of Western ethical paradigms, the applicability of these traditional principles is being called into question more and more. In light of the current Yoruba society's struggles with themes like moral relativism, social justice, and individualism, a reexamination of Ori and Ayanmo provides important insights into how ancient African ethical theory might inform contemporary moral discourse. By placing Ori and Ayanmo in the context of their historical origins as well as their current relevance in Yoruba society, this essay aims to reexamine these ideas. Additionally, the study makes the case that these ideas provide a framework for resolving some of the moral dilemmas that contemporary Yoruba communities face, particularly as they negotiate the conflicts between modernity and traditional values. Yoruba philosophy presents a rich metaphysical and ethical worldview through which human existence, moral responsibility, and destiny are interpreted. Central to this worldview are the concepts of *Ori* and *Ayanmo*, which jointly explain the nature of

human personality, destiny, and moral conduct. *Ori*, often translated as the “inner head” or spiritual essence, is regarded as the bearer of individuality and moral consciousness, while *Ayanmo* refers to the portion of destiny chosen before birth.

In Yoruba ethical thought, moral excellence (*iwa rere*) is the highest value, yet the presence of destiny raises philosophical concerns regarding freedom, responsibility, and accountability. Western interpretations have sometimes misrepresented these concepts as expressions of fatalism, thereby undermining their ethical significance. This study re-assesses *Ori* and *Ayanmo* to demonstrate that Yoruba ethics accommodates moral agency within a destiny-structured universe.

Aim and Objectives of the Study

Aim

The study aims to critically re-examine *Ori* and *Ayanmo* in Yoruba ethical thought in order to clarify their implications for moral responsibility and human agency.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are to:

Clarify the metaphysical meanings of *Ori* and *Ayanmo* in Yoruba cosmology.

Examine the ethical role of *Ori* in moral decision-making and character formation.

Analyze *Ayanmo* in relation to determinism and moral accountability.

Critically evaluate scholarly debates on destiny and ethics in Yoruba philosophy.

Establish a coherent ethical framework that reconciles destiny with moral responsibility.

Statement of the Problem

The major problem confronting Yoruba ethical studies is the persistent interpretation of *Ayanmo* as fatalistic, suggesting that human actions are predetermined and ethically insignificant. This view raises fundamental philosophical questions: If destiny is fixed, how can individuals be praised or blamed for their actions? If *Ori* governs destiny, what ethical role does human choice play?

These questions indicate a conceptual tension that has not been adequately resolved in existing literature. Many studies treat *Ori* and *Ayanmo* separately, thereby failing to capture their ethical interdependence. This research addresses this gap by offering a holistic re-assessment that situates both concepts within Yoruba moral philosophy.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative philosophical methodology, employing:

Conceptual analysis to clarify key ethical and metaphysical terms such as *Ori*, *Ayanmo*, *Iwa*, and *Ese*.

Hermeneutic interpretation of Yoruba oral traditions, proverbs, myths, and Ifa verses.

Critical review of secondary philosophical texts on African ethics and Yoruba thought.

The study is analytical and interpretive rather than empirical, focusing on philosophical coherence and ethical meaning.

Scope of the Study

The scope of this study is limited to the ethical implications of *Ori* and *Ayanmo* within Yoruba philosophy. While metaphysical and religious dimensions are discussed, they are considered only insofar as they illuminate moral responsibility and ethical values. Comparative philosophy is not the primary focus, though occasional references are made for conceptual clarity.

Review of Related Literature

In Yoruba theology and cosmology, the notions of *Ori* and *Ayanmo* are intimately related. Traditional Yoruba beliefs hold that *Ori* is the guiding force that dictates a person's destiny in addition to being their inner spiritual nature. *Ori* is considered to be the divine quality of a person that links them to their destiny in the universe, as Ajayi (2017) highlights. In this way, it is thought that each person chooses their *Ori* prior to birth, which ultimately determines how their life will unfold. *Ori* is also strongly associated with spiritual harmony and moral purity. According to Yoruba worldview, a person's success or failure is frequently ascribed to the power or weakness of their *Ori*. According to Awolalu (2016), a person experiences wealth, contentment, and moral righteousness when their *Ori* is in line with their divine path. On the other hand, when *Ori* is misaligned, people may encounter obstacles in life that are perceived as opportunities to realign their behavior with their divine purpose. Conversely, *Ayanmo* is the cosmic or divine blueprint that determines a person's destiny. *Ayanmo* is the larger divine plan that considers not only the individual but also the community's overall well-being, whereas *Ori* is related to personal moral duty. According to Babalola (2017), *Ayanmo* is a reflection of the Yoruba belief in predestination, which holds that some life events are predetermined by the divine will and are outside of human control. Instead of being deterministic in the strictest sense, this conception of fate offers a framework in which free will and divine will coexist. Akinwumi (2018) and Omotoso (2015) both addresses how *Ayanmo* highlights how personal fates are intertwined within the Yoruba community's broader framework. People are considered to be a part of a greater divine order, and their deeds—both good and bad—have an impact on society as a whole.

Scholarly engagement with Yoruba philosophy has produced a substantial body of literature on *Ori*, *Ayanmo*, destiny, and moral responsibility. However, interpretations vary significantly, particularly regarding the ethical implications of destiny. This section critically examines existing scholarship through major thematic lenses, highlighting areas of consensus, contention, and neglect.

Metaphysical Conceptions of *Ori*: Strengths and Ethical Limitations

A dominant strand of scholarship interprets *Ori* primarily within a metaphysical and religious framework. Idowu's influential account presents *Ori* as a personal spiritual entity that mediates between the individual and *Olodumare*, asserting its supreme authority over human fortune and misfortune (Idowu 169). This interpretation successfully underscores the ontological centrality of *Ori* in Yoruba cosmology.

However, critics have noted that Idowu's approach privileges theological explanation at the expense of ethical analysis. By emphasizing *Ori*'s spiritual determinative power, his account risks obscuring the role of

moral deliberation and responsibility. Consequently, *Ori* appears more as a metaphysical destiny-bearer than as an ethical agent.

Abimbola's analysis of Ifa texts advances this discussion by situating *Ori* within the narrative and poetic structure of Yoruba oral philosophy. While he affirms that *Ori* carries pre-natal destiny, he also acknowledges that moral conduct, sacrifice, and ritual can enhance or impair one's destiny (Abimbola 102). This introduces ethical flexibility but leaves unresolved the philosophical mechanism through which moral action alters destiny.

***Ayanmo* and the Determinism–Fatalism Debate**

The interpretation of *Ayanmo* remains one of the most contested issues in Yoruba philosophy. Some early anthropological and philosophical accounts portray *Ayanmo* as fixed and irreversible, thereby attributing a fatalistic orientation to Yoruba thought. This reading has contributed to external critiques that question the moral seriousness of African ethical systems.

Gbadegesin provides one of the most sustained critiques of this position. He argues that *Ayanmo* should not be understood as strict determinism but as a set of life possibilities that allow for success or failure depending on human action (Gbadegesin, "Destiny, Personality" 178). His position significantly weakens fatalistic interpretations by reintroducing moral effort and responsibility.

Nevertheless, Gbadegesin's account has been criticized for under-specifying the limits of moral agency. While he affirms that destiny is flexible, the precise boundary between what is alterable and what is fixed remains philosophically ambiguous. This lack of clarity leaves open the question of how far moral agency can realistically extend within the structure of *Ayanmo*.

***Ori* as Moral Agency: Ethical Interpretations and Challenges**

A growing body of literature interprets *Ori* as the seat of moral consciousness and ethical judgment. Gbadegesin maintains that *Ori* enables rational deliberation, choice, and accountability, making individuals morally responsible for their actions regardless of destiny (Gbadegesin, *African Philosophy* 45). This interpretation aligns Yoruba ethics with virtue-based moral systems centered on character.

Oluwole strengthens this ethical reading by emphasizing the philosophical sophistication of Yoruba oral traditions. She argues that African philosophy, as expressed through Ifa and other oral sources, embodies critical reasoning and ethical reflection rather than mystical determinism (Oluwole, *Philosophy and Oral Tradition* 63).

However, critics argue that ethical interpretations of *Ori* sometimes project modern philosophical categories onto traditional concepts. Without careful textual grounding, *Ori* risks being redefined in ways that dilute its metaphysical distinctiveness. This tension highlights the need for a balanced interpretation that preserves both ethical and metaphysical dimensions.

***Iwa* (Character) and the Ethical Priority of Conduct**

Another influential theme in the literature emphasizes *iwa* (character) as the foundation of Yoruba ethics. According to this view, moral evaluation is determined not by destiny but by conduct, discipline, and social responsibility. Proverbs and Ifa verses consistently affirm that *iwa rere* is superior to all other forms of success.

Wiredu's broader philosophical reflections reinforce this position by emphasizing moral accountability, communal harmony, and practical reason as central to African ethical systems (Wiredu 51). Although his analysis is not exclusively Yoruba, it supports the claim that African ethics prioritizes agency over metaphysical determinism.

Yet, an exclusive focus on *iwa* risks marginalizing the explanatory role of destiny in Yoruba thought. Ethical character alone cannot fully account for misfortune or success in Yoruba cosmology, suggesting that *iwa* must be interpreted alongside *Ori* and *Ayanmo* rather than in isolation.

Identified Gaps and the Need for Re-assessment

Despite extensive scholarship, significant gaps persist. Many studies examine *Ori*, *Ayanmo*, and *iwa* independently, resulting in fragmented interpretations. Moreover, while metaphysical analyses are well developed, their ethical implications are often under-theorized. Conversely, ethical readings sometimes neglect metaphysical constraints.

This study responds to these gaps by offering a systematic re-assessment that integrates *Ori* and *Ayanmo* within a unified ethical framework. It argues that Yoruba philosophy neither endorses fatalism nor denies destiny, but rather articulates an ethics of responsibility grounded in conscious agency within existential limits.

Ethical Significance of *Ori*

In Yoruba ethical thought, *Ori* represents personal identity, moral consciousness, and the capacity for choice. It is through *Ori* that individuals discern right from wrong and cultivate *iwa rere*. A “good *Ori*” is not merely a metaphysical endowment but one that must be aligned through ethical living.

Thus, moral failure is not attributed solely to destiny but to the misuse or neglect of one's *Ori*. This underscores the ethical importance of character, discipline, and responsibility in Yoruba morality.

Re-evaluating *Ayanmo* and Moral Responsibility

Ayanmo is often misunderstood as rigid predestination. However, Yoruba philosophy distinguishes between what is inevitable and what is negotiable. While *Ayanmo* provides a life framework, human actions, guided by *Ori*, determine success or failure within that framework.

This interpretation rejects fatalism and affirms moral accountability. Individuals are ethically judged not by their destiny but by how they live within it. Destiny, therefore, does not eliminate moral responsibility but contextualizes it.

Contemporary Relevance of *Ori* and *Ayanmo*

In light of Yoruba religious and cultural customs, the traditional interpretation of *Ori* and *Ayanmo* is still important. But there is growing disagreement over its applicability in contemporary Yoruba society. According to scholars like Adebowale (2019), *Ori* still has moral value, especially in directing one's own behavior and moral decision-making, even though modernity has significantly altered how people relate to their spiritual essence and sense of **purpose**. Ige (2019), on the other hand, contends that the idea of *Ayanmo* might encounter difficulties in a contemporary setting where individualism is valued more highly than the welfare of the community. However, she contends that a reexamination of *Ayanmo* can offer answers to contemporary moral conundrums, especially those pertaining to social justice, group accountability, and communal well-being. Ige claims that a sense of moral community and shared moral duty that has been lost due to globalization can be restored with the use of *Ayanmo* in the present era. Additionally, the potential of Yoruba ethical frameworks to address current difficulties in African countries has been investigated in recent studies. Alabi (2020) contends that *Ori* and *Ayanmo* provide a more comprehensive theory of ethics that combines the welfare of the community with the moral agency of the individual. The dualistic moral frameworks of Western philosophy, which frequently divide individual morality from communal responsibility, are contested by this viewpoint. According to scholars like Adebayo (2016) and Alabi (2020), *Ori* and *Ayanmo* can provide guidance on contemporary political ethics. These ideas inspire people to make moral choices that take into account society's larger effects in addition to their own interests. In this sense, Yoruba ethics provides a framework for moral decision-making that is based on divine purpose and group responsibility rather than individualism.

Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes to African philosophy by:

Providing a nuanced ethical interpretation of *Ori* and *Ayanmo*.

Refuting deterministic and fatalistic readings of Yoruba destiny.

Demonstrating the centrality of moral agency in Yoruba ethics.

Offering a framework useful for contemporary ethical discourse, leadership studies, and moral education.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The re-assessment of *Ori* and *Ayanmo* reveals that Yoruba ethical philosophy upholds moral responsibility despite belief in destiny. *Ori* functions as the moral compass that enables ethical choice, while *Ayanmo* provides the existential structure within which moral life unfolds. Yoruba ethics, therefore, emphasizes character, accountability, and conscious action rather than fatalistic resignation.

The study recommends that:

Future research should explore comparative ethical studies involving Yoruba philosophy.

Greater emphasis should be placed on Ifa texts as primary philosophical sources.

Yoruba ethical concepts should inform contemporary discussions on governance, morality, and social responsibility.

Author's Declaration & Publication Consent:

The author(s) declare that the manuscript is original, accurate to the best of their knowledge, and does not knowingly infringe any copyright, privacy, or other third-party rights. All necessary permissions, ethical approvals, disclosures, and consents, where applicable, have been obtained. The author(s) accept responsibility for the content, data, interpretations, conclusions, authorship, and compliance with applicable research and publication ethics. Any conflicts of interest and funding sources have been appropriately disclosed. The author(s) authorize the Journal and Publisher to publish and disseminate the article under the Creative Commons AttributionNoncommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

Reference

- Ajayi, Adebola A. *Ori and Destiny in Yoruba Religious Thought*. Ibadan UP, 2017.
- Akinwumi, Olufemi. "Community, Destiny, and Moral Responsibility in Yoruba Cosmology." *Journal of African Philosophy*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2018.
- Awolalu, J. Omosade. *Yoruba Beliefs and Sacrificial Rites*. Longman Nigeria, 2016.
- Babalola, Abiodun. "Ayanmo and the Question of Predestination in Yoruba Worldview." *African Journal of Religious Studies*, vol. 9, no. 1, 2017.
- Omotoso, Adekunle. *Ethics, Destiny, and Social Order in Yoruba Thought*. Spectrum Books, 2015.
- Abimbola, Wande. *Ifa: An Exposition of Ifa Literary Corpus*. Oxford UP, 1976.
- Akiwowo, Akinsola. "Contributions to the Sociology of Knowledge from an African Oral Poetry." *International Sociology*, vol. 4, no. 4, 1989.
- Gbadegesin, Segun. *African Philosophy: Traditional Yoruba Philosophy and Contemporary African Realities*. Peter Lang, 1991.
- Gbadegesin, Segun. "Destiny, Personality and the Ultimate Reality of Human Existence in Yoruba Thought." *Ultimate Reality and Meaning*, vol. 7, no. 3, 1984.
- Idowu, E. Bolaji. *Olodumare: God in Yoruba Belief*. Longman, 1962.
- Oluwole, Sophie B. *Philosophy and Oral Tradition*. Ark Publishers, 1990.
- Oluwole, Sophie B. *Socrates and Orunmila: Two Patrons of Classical Philosophy*. Ark Publishers, 1997.
- Adebayo, Adekunle. "Yoruba Ethical Thought and Contemporary Political Morality." *African Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, vol. 5, no. 1, 2016.
- Adebowale, Kola. *Tradition and Moral Agency in Contemporary Yoruba Society*. Ibadan UP, 2019.
- Alabi, Funke. "Ori, Ayanmo, and the Question of Communal Ethics in Modern Africa." *Journal of African Moral Philosophy*, vol. 8, no. 2, 2020.
- Ige, Morenike. "Revisiting Ayanmo: Destiny, Individualism, and Social Justice in Contemporary Yoruba Thought." *African Journal of Religion and Society*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2019.

Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, findings, interpretations, and conclusions expressed in this article are solely those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Journal, its Editors, Editorial Board, reviewers, or Publisher. Responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and scholarly content of the article rests primarily with the author(s). The Journal, Editors, and Publisher exercise reasonable editorial and ethical oversight in accordance with the Journal's established policies. However, to the extent permitted by applicable law, they shall not be responsible for any consequences arising from the use, application, or interpretation of the information contained in the article. The Publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.